

ON THE MODULAR CLASSES OF POISSON-NIJENHUIS MANIFOLDS

Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Franco Magri

Abstract We prove a property of the Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds which yields new proofs of the bihamiltonian properties of the hierarchy of modular vector fields defined by Damianou and Fernandes.

Introduction

In [2], Damianou and Fernandes defined the modular vector field and the modular class of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold, and they proved that the hierarchy generated by the modular vector field coincides with the canonical hierarchy of bihamiltonian vector fields already defined in [5]. A theorem of Beltrán and Monterde [1] states that, in a PN-manifold, the derived bracket (see e.g. [3]) of the interior products by N and P acting on forms is the interior product by the hamiltonian vector field with hamiltonian $-\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}N$. In this Letter, we give an elementary proof of a particular case of this theorem, a simple consequence of which, stated in Corollary 1.1, enables us to give new proofs of the hamiltonian properties of the hierarchy of modular vector fields of PN-manifolds. These can be extended to the case of arbitrary PN-algebroids in a straightforward manner.

1 Poisson-Nijenhuis structures

There are many ways of expressing the compatibility of a pair (P, N) , where N is a Nijenhuis tensor and P is a Poisson bivector on a manifold M satisfying the condition that NP be skew symmetric, in order to ensure that $NP, N^2P, \dots, N^kP, \dots$ be a sequence of pairwise-compatible Poisson brackets. Let $d_N = [i_N, d]$ be the differential on forms associated with the deformed bracket of vector fields, $[\cdot, \cdot]_N$, and let $[\cdot, \cdot]_P$ be the graded bracket of forms defined by P . When no confusion is possible, we denote by N both the Nijenhuis tensor and its transpose, and by P both the Poisson bivector and the map from 1-forms to vectors it defines, with the convention $P\alpha = i_\alpha P$. Let $H_f^P = Pd f$ be the hamiltonian vector field with hamiltonian $f \in C^\infty(M)$ in the Poisson structure P . The derived bracket $[[i_N, d], i_P] = [d_N, i_P]$ is denoted by $[i_N, i_P]_d$.

Proposition 1.1. *The following conditions on N and P are equivalent:*

- (i) $NP = PN$ and (ii) $C(P, N) = 0$, where, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(T^*M)$,
$$C(P, N)(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]_{NP} - ([N\alpha, \beta]_P + [\alpha, N\beta]_P - N[\alpha, \beta]_P) .$$
- d_N is a derivation of bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_P$.
- $d_P = [P, \cdot]$ is a derivation of the deformed bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_N$.
- Let $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{NP}$ be the Poisson bracket of functions with respect to NP .
 (i) $NP = PN$ and (ii) $d\{f, g\}_{NP} = L_{H_f^P} d_N g - L_{H_g^P} d_N f - d_N(H_f^P(g))$,
 for all $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.

This last condition follows from $C(P, N)(df, dg) = 0$, for all functions $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, using the relation $[\alpha, df]_P = -i_{H_f^P} d\alpha$.

Definition 1.1. When any one of the above conditions is satisfied, N and P are called *compatible*. The pair (P, N) is a *Poisson-Nijenhuis structure* (or PN-structure) if N and P are compatible. A manifold with a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure is called a *Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold* (or PN-manifold).

The compatibility of P and N can also be stated in terms of the morphism properties of maps $P, N^k P, N^k$ and $({}^t N)^k, k \geq 1$, relating the various Lie algebroid structures on TM and T^*M .

Proposition 1.2. *Let P be a Poisson bivector and N a Nijenhuis tensor on M such that $PN = NP$. Then, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(T^*M)$,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(C(P, N)\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Pd\text{Tr } N, \alpha \rangle + [i_N, i_P]_d \alpha , \quad (1.1)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_d$ denotes the derived bracket.

Proof. We shall use the expression of the components of $C(P, N)$ in local coordinates [4],

$$C_m^{kj} = P^{lj} \partial_l N_m^k + P^{kl} \partial_l N_m^j - N_m^l \partial_l P^{kj} + N_l^j \partial_m P^{kl} - P^{lj} \partial_m N_l^k ,$$

whence

$$C_k^{kj} = P^{lj} \partial_l N_k^k + P^{kl} \partial_l N_k^j - N_k^l \partial_l P^{kj} + N_l^j \partial_k P^{kl} - P^{lj} \partial_k N_l^k .$$

From the assumption $NP = PN$, i.e., $P^{lj} N_l^k + P^{lk} N_l^j = 0$, we obtain

$$N_l^k \partial_m P^{lj} + P^{lj} \partial_m N_l^k + N_l^j \partial_m P^{lk} + P^{lk} \partial_m N_l^j = 0 ,$$

whence

$$N_l^k \partial_k P^{lj} + P^{lj} \partial_k N_l^k + N_l^j \partial_k P^{lk} + P^{lk} \partial_k N_l^j = 0 .$$

This identity implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}C_k^{kj} = \frac{1}{2}P^{lj}\partial_l N_k^k + P^{lk}\partial_k N_l^j .$$

Thus, for any 1-form α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(C(P, N)\alpha) &= \frac{1}{2}P^{lj}\partial_l N_k^k \alpha_j + P^{lk}\partial_k N_l^j \alpha_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle Pd\text{Tr}N, \alpha \rangle + i_P di_N \alpha - i_{NP} d\alpha . \end{aligned}$$

Since $i_{NP} = i_{PN} = i_P i_N$,

$$(i_P di_N - i_{NP})\alpha = [i_P, [d, i_N]]\alpha = [[i_N, d], i_P]\alpha = [i_N, i_P]_d \alpha .$$

These equalities imply (1.1). $\square$

The following corollary, a consequence of the compatibility, will be used in Section 2.

Corollary 1.1. *Let (P, N) be a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure on a manifold. For all $f \in C^\infty(M)$,*

$$i_P(d_N df) = -\frac{1}{2}H_{I_1}^P(f), \quad (1.2)$$

where $H_{I_1}^P = Pd\text{Tr}N$ is the hamiltonian vector field with hamiltonian $I_1 = \text{Tr}N$ in the Poisson structure P .

Proof. When $C(P, N) = 0$, formula (1.1) for $\alpha = df$ yields (1.2). $\square$

Remark 1.1. When P and N are compatible, the derived bracket $[i_N, i_P]_d$ is a derivation of degree -1 of the algebra of forms equal to the interior product by the vector field $-\frac{1}{2}Pd\text{Tr}N$. A proof of this property can be found in [1].

2 The hierarchy of modular classes of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold

2.1 The modular class of $(TM, N, [\cdot, \cdot]_N)$.

Let N be a Nijenhuis tensor on manifold M . Given $\lambda \otimes \mu$, where λ is a nowhere vanishing multivector of top degree and μ a volume element on M , the modular class of the Lie algebroid $(TM, N, [\cdot, \cdot]_N)$ is the class in the d_N -cohomology of the 1-form $\xi^{(N)}$ such that, for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$,

$$\langle \xi^{(N)}, X \rangle \lambda \otimes \mu = [X, \lambda]_N \otimes \mu + \lambda \otimes L_{NX} \mu .$$

If $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is a local basis of $\Gamma(TM)$ such that $\lambda = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$, then

$$[X, \lambda]_N = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j [X, e_j]_N e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_n .$$

Since $[X, Y]_N = [NX, Y] + (L_X N)Y$, we obtain

$$[X, \lambda]_N = L_{NX} \lambda + \sum_{j=1}^n (L_X N)_j^j e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_j \wedge \dots \wedge e_n .$$

Choosing λ and μ such that $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = 1$ which implies that $L_{NX} \lambda \otimes \mu + \lambda \otimes L_{NX} \mu = 0$, and using the relation $\sum_{j=1}^n (L_X N)_j^j = \sum_{j=1}^n L_X(N_j^j)$, we obtain

$$\langle \xi^{(N)}, X \rangle \lambda \otimes \mu = i_X(d\text{Tr} N) \lambda \otimes \mu .$$

Thus we have recovered the result of [2]:

Proposition 2.1. *The modular class in the d_N -cohomology of the Lie algebroid $(TM, N, [,]_N)$ is the class of the 1-form $d\text{Tr} N$.*

The d_N -cocycle $\xi^{(N)} = d\text{Tr} N$ is in fact independent of the choice of $\lambda \otimes \mu$. The class it defines can also be considered to be the class of the morphism of Lie algebroids $N: (TM, N, [,]_N) \rightarrow (TM, \text{id}, [,])$.

Similarly, the modular classes associated to the Nijenhuis tensors N^k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$, are the d_{N^k} -classes of the 1-forms $d\text{Tr}(N^k)$.

2.2 The modular class of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold

We shall now consider the case of a manifold M with a PN-structure. Let $P_0 = P$ and $P_1 = NP, \dots, P_k = N^k P, \dots$

For each Poisson structure P_k on M , $k \geq 0$, the modular vector field associated to a volume form μ on M is, by definition, the d_{P_k} -cocycle X_μ^k satisfying

$$\langle X_\mu^k, df \rangle \mu = L_{H_f^{P_k}} \mu , \quad (2.1)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, that is $\langle X_\mu^k, df \rangle \mu = di_{P_k} df \mu$. It follows that, for all 1-forms α ,

$$\langle X_\mu^k, \alpha \rangle \mu = di_{P_k} \alpha \mu - (i_{P_k} d\alpha) \mu . \quad (2.2)$$

We now consider the vector fields

$$X^{(k)} = X_\mu^k - NX_\mu^{k-1} , \quad (2.3)$$

for $k \geq 1$, and we list their basic properties:

- For each k , $X^{(k)}$ is independent of μ . It is called the k -th modular vector field of (M, P, N) .

- $X^{(k)}$ is a d_{P_k} -cocycle. Its class is called the k -th modular class of the PN-manifold. In particular, the d_{NP} -class of $X^{(1)}$ is called the modular class of (M, P, N) .
- The k -th modular class of (M, P, N) is one-half the relative modular class of the morphism of Lie algebroids ${}^tN : (T^*M, P_k, [\cdot, \cdot]_{P_k}) \rightarrow (T^*M, P_{k-1}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{P_{k-1}})$.

2.3 Properties of the hierarchy of modular vector fields

Proposition 2.2. *The modular vector fields of a PN-manifold (M, P, N) satisfy*

$$X^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2}H_{I_k}^P, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (2.4)$$

where $I_k = \text{Tr} \frac{N^k}{k}$, $k \geq 1$, is the sequence of fundamental functions in involution.

Proof. For clarity, we first prove the case $k = 1$. It follows from formula (2.2) and Corollary 1.1 that, for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle NX_\mu^0, df \rangle_\mu &= \langle X_\mu^0, Ndf \rangle_\mu \\ &= di_{PN}df\mu - (i_P dNdf)\mu = di_{NP}df\mu + \frac{1}{2} \langle Pd\text{Tr}N, df \rangle_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\langle X_\mu^1, df \rangle_\mu = di_{NP}df\mu.$$

Therefore $X^{(1)} = X_\mu^1 - NX_\mu^0 = -\frac{1}{2}Pd\text{Tr}N = -\frac{1}{2}H_{I_1}^P$.

The case $k \geq 2$ is similar. Applying Corollary 1.1 to the compatible pair $(N^{k-1}P, N)$, we obtain

$$\langle X^{(k)}, df \rangle = i_{N^{k-1}P}dNdf = i_{N^{k-1}P}d_Ndf = -\frac{1}{2} \langle N^{k-1}Pd\text{Tr}N, df \rangle.$$

The result follows from $N^{k-1}Pd\text{Tr}N = PN^{k-1}d\text{Tr}N = Pd\text{Tr} \frac{N^k}{k}$. $\square$

Remark 2.1. The sequence of modular vector fields $X^{(k)}$, $k \geq 1$, coincides with the well-known sequence [5] of bihamiltonian vector fields of a PN-manifold. It follows that $X^{(k)} = NX^{(k-1)}$.

Remark 2.2. The sequence of modular vector fields of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold introduced by Damianou and Fernandes in [2] is X_k , $k \geq 1$, defined by the recursion $X_1 = X_N = X_\mu^1 - NX_\mu^0$ and $X_k = NX_{k-1}$, for $k \geq 2$. They proved that $X_k = -\frac{1}{2}Pd\text{Tr} \frac{N^k}{k}$, for $k \geq 1$. Though the definition of the hierarchy $X^{(k)}$ that we have considered differs from theirs, the two hierarchies still coincide.

If we denote the modular vector field of the PN-structure (N, P) by $X_{N,P}$, then $X^{(k)} = X_{N,N^{k-1}P}$, while $X_k = N^{k-1}X_{N,P}$. The vector fields $X_{N,P}$ satisfy

$$X_{N,NP} + NX_{N,P} = X_{N^2,P} ,$$

and, more generally,

$$X_{N,N^kP} + NX_{N,N^{k-1}P} = X_{N^2,N^{k-1}P} .$$

This relation is immediate from the definition. Each term is a hamiltonian vector field with respect to N^kP ; each of the terms on the left-hand side is equal to $-\frac{1}{2}PN^kd\text{Tr}N$, while the right-hand side is $-\frac{1}{2}PN^{k-1}d\text{Tr}N^2 = -PN^kd\text{Tr}N$.

Remark 2.3. It follows from the morphism properties of P , NP and ${}^tN$ that the relative modular classes of $P: (T^*M, P, [,]_P) \rightarrow (TM, Id, [,])$, $NP: (T^*M, NP, [,]_{NP}) \rightarrow (TM, Id, [,])$, and ${}^tN: (T^*M, NP, [,]_{NP}) \rightarrow (T^*M, P, [,]_P)$ are defined and satisfy

$$Mod^{NP} - NMod^P = Mod^{tN} . \quad (2.5)$$

A representative of this d_{NP} -cohomology class is $-Pd\text{Tr}N = 2X^{(1)}$.

More generally, a representative of the modular class of the morphism ${}^tN^k$ from $(T^*M, P_k, [,]_{P_k})$ to $(T^*M, P, [,]_P)$ is $-Pd\text{Tr}N^k = 2kX^{(k)}$.

Remark 2.4. The modular classes of the morphisms $N: (TM, N, [,]_N) \rightarrow (TM, Id, [,])$ and ${}^tN: (T^*M, NP, [,]_{NP}) \rightarrow (T^*M, P, [,]_P)$ are related by

$$Mod^{tN} = -PMod^N . \quad (2.6)$$

Relation (2.6) can be generalized in two ways.

Proposition 2.3. (i) *The modular classes of the morphisms*

$$N^k: (TM, N^k, [,]_{N^k}) \rightarrow (TM, Id, [,]) \quad \text{and}$$

$${}^tN^k: (T^*M, P_k, [,]_{P_k}) \rightarrow (T^*M, P, [,]_P)$$

are related by

$$Mod^{tN^k} = -PMod^{N^k} .$$

(ii) *The modular classes of the morphisms*

$$N^{[k]}: (TM, N^k, [,]_{N^k}) \rightarrow (TM, N^{k-1}, [,]_{N^{k-1}}) \quad \text{and}$$

$${}^tN^{[k]}: (T^*M, P_k, [,]_{P_k}) \rightarrow (T^*M, P_{k-1}, [,]_{P_{k-1}})$$

are related by

$$Mod^{tN^{[k]}} = -PMod^{N^{[k]}} ,$$

and a representative of the modular class of the morphism ${}^tN^{[k]}$ is $2X^{(k)}$.

Proof. (i) follows from Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.3. To prove (ii), we compute a representative of the modular class of $N^{[k]}$,

$$d\mathrm{Tr}N^k - {}^tNd\mathrm{Tr}N^{k-1} = d\mathrm{Tr}\frac{N^k}{k},$$

and a representative of the modular class of ${}^tN^{[k]}$,

$$2(X_\mu^k - NX_\mu^{k-1}) = 2X^{(k)} = -Pd\mathrm{Tr}\frac{N^k}{k}.$$

□

Remark 2.5. Computations of a representative of Mod^{tN^k} either from the equality $2(X_\mu^k - N^kX_\mu^0) = 2\sum_{\ell=1}^k N^{k-\ell}X^{(\ell)}$ or from the equality $Mod^{tN^k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k N^{k-\ell}Mod^{tN^{[\ell]}}$ both recover the fact, stated in Remark 2.3, that a representative of Mod^{tN^k} is equal to $-\sum_{\ell=1}^k N^{k-\ell}Pd\mathrm{Tr}\frac{N^\ell}{\ell} = -Pd\mathrm{Tr}N^k = 2kX^{(k)}$.

Acknowledgments We thank Rui Fernandes and Camille Laurent-Gengoux for useful exchanges on the topic of this letter.

References

- [1] J. V. Beltrán, J. Monterde, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures and the Vinogradov bracket, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **12** (1994), 65-78.
- [2] P. A. Damianou, R. L. Fernandes, *Integrable hierarchies and the modular class*, math.DG/0607784, version 2.
- [3] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, From Poisson algebras to Gerstenhaber algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **46** (1996), 1243-1274.
- [4] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, *Ann. Inst. Poincaré A* **53** (1990), 35-81.
- [5] F. Magri and C. Morosi, A geometrical characterization of integrable Hamiltonian systems through the theory of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds, *Quaderno S* **19**, Università degli Studi di Milano, 1984.

Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz
Ecole Polytechnique, F-91128 Palaiseau, France
yks@math.polytechnique.fr

Department of Mathematics
University of Milano Bicocca
Via Corsi 58, I-20126 Milano, Italy
magri@matapp.unimib.it